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Pacific salmon *Oncorhynchus* spp. face serious challenges from climate and landscape change, particularly in the southern portion of their native range. Conversely, climate warming appears to be allowing salmon to expand northwards into the Arctic. Between these geographic extremes, in the Gulf of Alaska region, salmon are at historically high abundances but face an uncertain future due to rapid environmental change. We examined changes in climate, hydrology, land cover, salmon populations, and fisheries over the past 30–70 years in this region. We focused on the Kenai River, which supports world-famous fisheries but where Chinook Salmon *O. tshawytscha* populations have declined, raising concerns about their future resilience. The region is warming and experiencing drier summers and wetter autumns. The landscape is also changing, with melting glaciers, wetland loss, wildfires, and human development. This environmental transformation will likely harm some salmon populations while benefiting others. Lowland salmon streams are especially vulnerable, but retreating glaciers may allow production gains in other streams. Some fishing communities harvest a diverse portfolio of fluctuating resources, whereas others have specialized over time, potentially limiting their resilience. Maintaining diverse habitats and salmon runs may allow ecosystems and fisheries to continue to thrive amidst these changes.

**INTRODUCTION**

Climate change, habitat degradation, and other stressors pose serious challenges to many Pacific salmon *Oncorhynchus* spp. populations, and these challenges are particularly acute in the southern portion of their native range (Isaak et al. 2011; Lawrence et al. 2014; Ward et al. 2015). Conversely, as their climate envelope shifts northward, salmon are apparently expanding into Arctic and subarctic watersheds (Nielsen et al. 2013; Poesch et al. 2016), where habitats are generally intact. Between these geographic extremes, in the core of their native range stretching from Kamchatka to Southeast Alaska (Augerot and Foley 2005), wild salmon remain abundant and productive but face an uncertain future.

The biomass and commercial harvest of wild salmon in the North Pacific Ocean are currently near all-time highs, and roughly one-third of these salmon spawn in the Gulf of Alaska region, including the southern Alaska Peninsula, southcentral Alaska, and Southeast Alaska (Irvine and Fukuwaka 2011; Irvine and Ruggeroni 2016). Salmon rivers in this region face a complex set of climate-driven changes, including rising summer stream temperatures (Mauger et al. 2017), melting glaciers (O’Neel et al. 2015), and increasing streamflows during fall and winter, when developing embryos are vulnerable to streambed scour (Shanley and Albert 2014). In combination, these climatic changes may reduce the productivity of some salmon species, populations, and life stages while benefiting others (Leppi et al. 2014; Shanley et al. 2015). A patchwork of human development adds further complexity; whereas some salmon rivers are located in protected areas, many others are vulnerable to habitat loss and other human impacts (Scannell 2012; Albert and Schoen 2013; Sepulveda et al. 2015). The net effects of these changes on ecosystems and fisheries defy simple prediction, and this uncertainty complicates the tasks of natural resource management, conservation, and habitat restoration (Schindler and Hilborn 2015).

The Kenai River in southcentral Alaska exemplifies the high social, economic, and ecological value of wild salmon and the complex changes they face in the Gulf of Alaska region. Kenai River salmon support several of Alaska’s largest recreational salmon fisheries, major commercial gill-net and personal-use dip-net fisheries, as well as small-scale subsistence and educational fisheries (Begich et al. 2013; Shields and Dupuis 2016). The river is famous for its large Chinook Salmon *O. tshawytscha*, including a 44-kg (97 lb) world record fish caught in 1985. However, recent declines in Chinook Salmon returns have prompted fishery closures and exacerbated long-standing conflicts between stakeholders (Loring 2016). These declines, coinciding with state-wide declines in Chinook Salmon returns and body size (ADFG 2013; Lewis et al. 2015), have raised concerns about the resilience of these salmon runs and their dependent fishing communities to rapid environmental change (Loring et al. 2014).

In this article, we synthesize 70 years of measured changes in climate, hydrology, land cover, freshwater habitat, salmon populations, and fisheries in rivers draining into the Gulf of Alaska, using the Kenai River watershed in southcentral Alaska as a central case study. We focus on the coupled terrestrial and freshwater habitats of large, complex watersheds (Figure 1) rather than small coastal streams or marine ecosystems (e.g., O’Neel et al. 2015). Further, we interpret downscaled climate model projections for the region in light of current knowledge of how landscape features determine the sensitivity of aquatic ecosystems to regional climate trends. Finally, we discuss critical uncertainties and management decisions facing fishing communities in the Kenai River basin. Our objective is to identify trends, vulnerabilities, and management opportunities likely to affect these highly productive ecosystems and valuable fisheries.

**STUDY AREA**

The Gulf of Alaska drainage basin encompasses the southern Alaska Peninsula, southcentral Alaska, and Southeast Alaska, bordered to the north by the highest mountain ranges in North America. We refer to this area as the Gulf of Alaska region throughout the article. This region is heavily glacierized (ice covered) and receives tremendous inputs of freshwater from precipitation and glacier runoff (Neal et al. 2010). The landscape is drained by a diverse array of salmon-bearing watersheds, ranging from large floodplain rivers to small, steep coastal streams (ADFG 2017).

In many respects, the Kenai Peninsula in southcentral Alaska represents a microcosm of the broader region, containing icefields, alpine tundra, boreal forests, temperate rainforests, and wetlands, and straddling two distinct climatic zones. The mountainous eastern peninsula is characterized by a subarctic maritime climate with relatively heavy precipitation, and the western peninsula lies in the rain shadow of the Kenai Mountains and is characterized by a subarctic continental climate (Shulski and Wendler 2007). The eastern mountains are covered by glaciers, alpine tundra, and coastal temperate rainforests (O’Neel et al. 2015), and the western lowlands are covered by boreal forests and extensive wetlands (Klein et al. 2005).

The Kenai River flows 182 km through the center of the peninsula and empties into Cook Inlet near the cities of Kenai and Soldotna (Figure 2). Glaciers descending from the Harding and Sargent icefields cover 11% of its 5,568 km² watershed (Dorava and Milner 2000). Three gauged tributaries illustrate the spectrum of lowland, montane, and glacially influenced salmon streams found throughout the region (Figures 2 and 3). Lowland streams such as Beaver Creek (2% average gradient, 0% glacial coverage) have the least streamflow relative to their basin area, with peak flows occurring during a rapid spring snowmelt period, followed by lower midsummer flows. Montane tributaries such as the Russian River (9% average gradient, <1% glacial coverage)
Rivers along the Gulf of Alaska produce one-third of the world’s wild salmon, and salmon production here is near historic highs. Salmon face risks from a rapidly changing climate, landscape change, and ocean acidification, but may also benefit from warming temperatures and glacial retreat under some circumstances. Management decisions will influence whether Alaska salmon ecosystems and fishing communities continue to thrive for future generations.

1 WHERE THE RIVERS MEET THE SEA
Rivers are migration corridors for salmon, serving as conveyor belts of nutrients and energy between the ocean, rivers, and surrounding forests. Each summer millions of adult salmon migrate from the ocean to rivers to spawn, and their eggs overwinter in the streambed. During spring, juvenile Chinook, Coho, and Sockeye salmon hatch and rear in streams and lakes before swimming out to sea to mature and continue their migratory cycles.

2 VALUABLE FISHERIES
Alaska salmon support commercial and sport fisheries worth over a billion US dollars annually. Subsistence and personal-use salmon fisheries provide food and cultural value.
3 CHANGING CLIMATE, CHANGING STREAMFLOWS
Warmer and drier summers cause wetland drying, reducing streamflows for spawning salmon. Warmer and wetter autumns and winters are expected to increase flood frequency, which can be deadly to incubating salmon eggs. Glacial meltwater and lakes buffer some salmon populations from these risks.

4 MELTING GLACIERS
Moderate inputs of water, nutrients, and sediments from melting glaciers enhance aquatic productivity and benefit young salmon, while too much or too little input may reduce productivity. In some cases, glacial retreat may also uncover new stream reaches, which could increase salmon numbers.

5 VULNERABLE LOWLAND STREAMS
Lowland streams are especially sensitive to wetland drying and loss. Human activities can exacerbate these effects on streams and riparian areas that are not protected, leading to loss of salmon habitat.

6 LANDSCAPE CHANGE
More frequent wildfires and forest pest outbreaks affect the delivery of sediment, food, and large woody debris to rivers. Further, urban development, timber extraction, road crossings, and invasive species all have consequences for riverine productivity and salmon, but also provide opportunities for enhancing riverine ecosystems through local management.

Rivers along the Gulf of Alaska produce one-third of the world’s wild salmon, and salmon production here is near historic highs. Salmon face risks from a rapidly changing climate, landscape change, and ocean acidification, but may also benefit from warming temperatures and glacial retreat under some circumstances.

Management decisions will influence whether Alaska salmon ecosystems and fishing communities continue to thrive for future generations.
are characterized by a later and longer-lasting spring freshet fed by melting alpine snowpack. Glacially influenced tributaries such as Ptarmigan Creek (14% average gradient, 7% glacial coverage) have the greatest flows relative to their basin area, with midsummer peaks in discharge when glacial melt reaches its maximum. All tributary types typically exhibit secondary peak flows caused by fall rains. The Kenai and many other rivers in the region flow through lakes, which influence their hydrology in important ways detailed below. Discharge of the mainstem Kenai River generally peaks during July and August and reaches a minimum between January and March (Figure 3).

CLIMATE CHANGE AND SENSITIVITY OF FRESHWATER HABITATS

Alaska has warmed at more than twice the rate of the rest of the United States over the past 60 years, and rapid warming is projected to continue through the end of this century (Chapin et al. 2014). Historical trends in precipitation are largely unclear, due to high interannual variability, data gaps, and changes in methodology and location of some weather stations (Mcafee et al. 2013, 2014a; Kane and Stuefer 2015). Climate models predict that Alaska will receive more total annual precipitation as the climate warms but that water availability will decrease during summer due to increasing evapotranspiration during a longer growing season (Chapin et al. 2014). Further, in coastal regions bordering the Gulf of Alaska, more winter precipitation is projected to fall as rain rather than snow (Mcafee et al. 2014b).

Climate change is well documented at the Kenai Municipal Airport, near the mouth of the Kenai River (e.g., Berg et al. 2009; Bauret and Stuefer 2013). This station has a >70-year, continuous, homogenous, and reliable weather record (Mcafee et al. 2013), representative of coastal areas west of the Kenai Mountains. We illustrated the scope and seasonality of the changes at Kenai by plotting the monthly mean air temperature and precipitation recorded during two historical time periods, 1943–1969 and 1970–2012, with climate model projections for two future time periods, 2030–2049 and 2070–2089 (Figure 4). We set 1970 as the cutoff between historical time periods to align with documented climatic regime shifts beginning in 1968 in the Kenai Lowlands (Berg et al. 2009) and during the mid-1970s in the North Pacific (Mantua et al. 1997; Meehl et al. 2009). Climate projections were based on a five-model average using the most recent global circulation models (AR5) from the International Panel on Climate Change, statistically (delta) downscaled for Kenai to allow comparison with the historical record (SNAP 2016).

Mean annual air temperatures at Kenai have increased significantly, and all seasons except fall have become warmer on average (Figure 4A; Bauret and Stuefer 2013). Total annual precipitation has decreased substantially, spring and summer have become drier, and fall has become wetter (Figure 4B; Bauret and Stuefer 2013; Mcafee et al. 2013). Warmer, drier summers have caused a 55% decline in available water for plant growth, streamflow, and groundwater recharge in the Kenai Lowlands since 1968 (Berg et al. 2009). Climate models predict further increases in air temperatures during all months of the year and increased precipitation during fall and winter, but models differ on future trends in summer precipitation.

Climate change is also altering the flow and temperature regimes of Alaska salmon streams. On average, spring snowmelt and peak stream runoff occur several weeks earlier now than in the 1950s (Stewart et al. 2005). Hydrological models predict that drier summers and warmer, wetter winters will increase the risks of flooding and drought in many salmon streams across southern Alaska (Leppi et al. 2014; Shanley and Albert 2014; Wobus et al. 2016). However, streams are not responding uniformly, because varied landscapes filter the effects of regional climate driv-
ers on aquatic habitat characteristics like streamflow and water temperature (Schindler et al. 2008). For example, as flood size increases, higher-gradient upland streams are more sensitive to streambed scour than unconfined floodplain channels (Sloat et al. 2017). Conversely, lowland streams are more sensitive to low midsummer flows than streams fed by high-elevation snowpack or glaciers (Fellman et al. 2015). Indeed, despite the trend of drier summers in the surrounding Kenai Lowlands (Berg et al. 2009), average summer discharge has increased modestly in the glacially influenced Kenai River over the past 70 years (Figure 4C), likely due to increasing glacial melt. Glacial meltwater will not buffer flow regimes forever though; runoff has probably already peaked and begun to decline for most glaciers in Alaska (Bliss et al. 2014).

Landscape features also influence the sensitivity of stream temperature to rising air temperature, making some streams more vulnerable to climate warming than others. Stream temperatures of nonglacial Alaska streams are more sensitive to air temperatures in lower-elevation, larger, rain-dominated watersheds with lower average watershed slope (Lisi et al. 2015; Mauger et al. 2017; Winfree 2017). Forecasting future stream temperatures is challenging because the thermal sensitivities of watersheds can change over time, introducing nonlinear thresholds into warming trends (Arismendi et al. 2014). For example, streams that are currently buffered by glacial or snowmelt inputs may suddenly become more sensitive to air temperature as snowlines rise and smaller glaciers disappear from their upper watersheds (Lisi et al. 2015). More information is needed to understand how stream temperature sensitivity changes on seasonal and interannual time scales, in order to identify and conserve habitats that will be thermally suitable for productive salmon runs in a warmer world. The role of landscapes in filtering climate change effects on salmon is only part of the picture, however, because many of these landscapes themselves are also rapidly changing.

LANDSCAPE CHANGE AND ITS EFFECTS ON FRESHWATER COMMUNITIES

Glacial Mass Loss

Freshwater systems flowing into the Gulf of Alaska are strongly influenced by glaciers, which are rapidly retreating and losing mass overall (Figure 5; Arendt et al. 2002; Hill et al. 2015). For example, every glacier in a sample of 347 in southcentral Alaska receded from 1948 to 2009, based on satellite images, with an overall loss of 23% of glaciated area (Le Bris et al. 2011). Harding Icefield, the largest source of glacial runoff to the Kenai River, thinned by 30 m from 1950 to 1999 (VanLooy et al. 2006). Wolverine Glacier, a representative coastal glacier in the Kenai Mountains, has rapidly lost mass since the late 1980s, based on a long-term time series of field observations (Figure 6A; O’Neel et al. 2014).

Regional losses of glacial mass likely have both positive and negative implications for freshwater ecosystems and salmon populations (O’Neel et al. 2015), but the net effects remain poorly understood. Most obviously, retreating glaciers expose new streams and proglacial lakes for freshwater biota to colonize (Milner et al. 2000, 2007). Less visible, but potentially more important to regional salmon production, are the effects of changing glacial inflows. Loss of glacial mass is unlikely to dramatically alter the total annual discharge of coastal Alaska rivers, which receive most of their streamflow from precipitation and seasonal fluctuations in glacier mass; however, it could cause important changes in seasonal discharge and water quality (O’Neel et al. 2014). Glacially influenced rivers exhibit greater midsummer streamflows, lower water temperatures, enhanced concentrations of nutrients and organic carbon, and elevated sediment loads (and associated turbidity) relative to nonglacial rivers (Milner et al. 2001; Hood and Berner 2009). As glaciers lose mass, short-term increases in meltwater inputs can reduce the production of zooplankton and Sockeye Salmon *O. nerka* in lakes (Edmundson et
Figure 4. Observed and projected changes in (A) air temperature and (B) precipitation at the city of Kenai and (C) observed changes in discharge in the Kenai River at the town of Cooper Landing. Observed data from the National Weather Service (air temperature and precipitation) and U.S. Geological Survey (discharge). Climate projections from the Scenarios Network for Alaska and Arctic Planning (2016). Intermediate emissions scenario (RCP 6.0) shown.

Proglacial lakes, including the Kenai and Skilak lakes in the Kenai Peninsula, are important rearing habitats for juvenile Chinook Salmon and Sockeye Salmon (Murphy et al. 1989; Rine et al. 2016). However, moderate levels of glacial discharge can provide important benefits to freshwater ecosystems. Glacial inflows can moderate water temperatures and help maintain dissolved oxygen levels necessary for fish survival during hot, dry summers (Fellman et al. 2015). Glacial discharge can also support connectivity within a mosaic of mainstem and off-channel riverine habitats throughout the growing season, providing important rearing habitat for juvenile Chinook Salmon and Coho Salmon *O. kisutch* (Murphy et al. 1989; Rine et al. 2016). Proglacial lakes, including the Kenai and Skilak lakes in the Kenai River watershed, moderate the flow, turbidity, and temperature of glacial rivers (Dorava and Milner 2000; Kyle and Brabets 2001), providing rearing habitat for juvenile Sockeye Salmon, enhancing the productivity and diversity of freshwater invertebrates and salmon stocks, and prolonging the seasonal availability of salmon for human harvest in downriver reaches (Box 1). Dimin-ishing glacial influence may reduce these benefits and enhance the sensitivity of aquatic ecosystems to warmer air temperatures and other aspects of climate change (Kyle and Brabets 2001). It remains unclear whether glacial mass loss will enhance or reduce the overall salmon productivity of the Gulf of Alaska region. As these changes unfold, several simultaneous processes are transforming both glacial and nonglacial watersheds.

**Wetland Loss**

Wetland loss is occurring throughout the Alaska boreal forest as summers become warmer and drier (Riordan et al. 2006). This pattern is well documented in the Kenai Peninsula lowlands, where large areas of herbaceous wetlands are underlain by peat that has accumulated since the end of the Wisconsin glaciation 18,000 years ago (Berg et al. 2009). As the climate warmed and dried during the past 50 years, lakes and ponds shrank, water tables fell, and wetlands were replaced by shrubs and black spruce *Picea mariana* forest (Klein et al. 2005). Wetland loss has accelerated since the 1970s, reaching a rate of 9% ± 1.5% per decade (mean surface area ± SE; Figure 6B; Berg et al. 2009).

The loss of wetlands has important consequences for salmon streams, especially in lowlands. Wetlands export nutrients to habitats downstream (Fellman et al. 2009), provide food and habitat for aquatic invertebrates and fish (David et al. 2016), and filter sediment and pollutants from surface runoff (Zedler and Kercher 2005). Wetlands can also buffer streamflows by storing water and releasing it during dry periods (Gracz et al. 2015). Consequently, loss or disruption of these wetlands could magnify the effects of climate change on Alaska salmon by further reducing streamflows during summer (Gracz et al. 2015).

**Accelerating Disturbance Regimes**

Warmer, drier conditions are also accelerating the frequency and severity of disturbances such as wildfires and insect outbreaks in temperate forests worldwide (Millar and Stephenson 2015). Humans have been the primary cause of fire on the Kenai Peninsula since European settlement began around 1850, which was marked by a 10-fold increase in soil charcoal accumulation (Lynch et al. 2002; Berg and Anderson 2006). Lightning strikes were historically rare (Lynch et al. 2002), but lightning-caused fires have occurred with increasing frequency during the past 20 years (Figure 6C; see Supplemental Information). Spruce bark beetle *Dendroctonus rufipennis* outbreaks have also increased in extent following recent strings of consecutive warm summers, including a major region-wide outbreak in the 1990s (Berg et al. 2006). This beetle outbreak is thought to have increased the likelihood of fire in mixed stands of black spruce and white spruce *P. glauca*, which are common in the lower Kenai River watershed (Hansen et al. 2016).

The alteration of natural disturbance regimes will affect riverine ecosystems in important ways (Wolken et al. 2011). Riverine networks are inextricably linked to and dependent upon riparian habitats (Yannote et al. 1980; Wallace et al. 1997; Wipflti and Richardson 2015). Riparian trees shade streams, moderating their temperatures, and provide large wood that creates pool habitats for rearing salmonids (Roní and Quinn 2001). Canopy loss from fire and forest insect outbreaks can be problematic for small streams sensitive to heating (Dunham et al. 2007). Fire can also provide benefits, for example, by increasing wood delivery to rearing habitats of juvenile salmon (Flitcroft et al. 2016). However, a rapid increase in fire and other disturbances in Alaska boreal forests will not necessarily improve salmon habitat. Fire and beetle outbreaks are projected to initially increase wood
and fine organic debris inputs on Kenai Peninsula rivers (Rinella et al. 2009) but over the long term could reduce the rate and size of wood input, especially if fires become more frequent and intense, inhibiting forest regrowth. Forested riparian zones also provide leaf litter and terrestrial insect inputs to freshwater food webs (Wipfli 1997). Leaf litter is an essential source of energy and nutrients for aquatic insects, which in turn are food for rearing salmonids in Alaska streams (Wipfli 1997; Allan et al. 2003). Terrestrial plants supply close to half of the food ingested by salmonids in coastal forested streams in Alaska through direct inputs of terrestrial insects sourced from forest canopies and understories (Wipfli 1997; Allan et al. 2003; Roon et al. 2016). Wildfires may increase food supplies for salmonids in the short term (Mellon et al. 2008), but longer term effects are unknown. Terrestrial vegetation also moderates surface runoff, and loss of vegetation cover at more frequent intervals will increase sediment loading and routing into streams.

**Human Development and Activities**

Despite Alaska’s reputation as a sparsely populated wilderness, the expanding footprint of human development is now a significant disturbance process influencing many salmon-bearing watersheds. In southcentral Alaska, home to 62% of the state’s population (Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development 2016), cities and roads are clustered in lowlands and often along rivers, increasing the potential for impacts on salmon ecosystems. We quantified the expanding footprint of human development in the Kenai River watershed over the past six decades using high-resolution aerial photography and the National Land Cover Database (see Supplemental Information for methods). The area occupied by human development increased more than 20-fold, from 3.5 km² in the 1950s to 57 km² in the 1980s and 76 km² in 2013. Despite this rapid growth, less than 2% of the overall Kenai River watershed was considered developed in 2013. However, this development was highly concentrated within the lower Kenai River riparian zone (Figure 7), which we defined as land within 1 km of the river between Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet. Though this represents less than 3% of the watershed area, nearly half of the medium- and high-density development and more than one-third of all development were concentrated within the lower Kenai River riparian zone. The human footprint covered 1% of the lower river riparian zone in the 1950s, expanding to 12% in the 1980s and 17% in 2013 (Figure 6D). This pattern is reinforced by the distribution of land ownership: for example, protected federal lands (Chugach National Forest and Kenai National Wildlife Refuge) comprise 88% of the watershed area but only 10% of the lower Kenai River banks (Arendt et al. 2010).

Human development has contributed to the introduction and dispersal of at least 109 nonnative flora (ACCS 2017) and 30 non-native fauna (USFWS 2016) on the Kenai Peninsula, surprisingly high numbers considering the relative remoteness and isolation of Alaska versus other parts of North America. Several of these species can cause injury to salmonids or their habitats and have prompted rapid management responses: statewide banning of felt-soled waders in 2012 to control the spread of didymo or rock snot Didymosphenia geminata and whirling disease Myxobolus cerebralis (Arsan and Bartholomew 2008), the ongoing use of herbicides to eradicate the aquarium plant Elodea from lakes on the peninsula (Morton et al. 2014), and the ongoing use of rotenone to eradicate Northern Pike Esox lucius from the peninsula (Dunker et al. 2016). Other introduced organisms have the potential to be novel ecosystem drivers: green alder sawfly Monosoma pulveratum, which defoliates shade-producing thimble alder Alnus tenuifolia along the banks of nonglacial streams; white sweetclover Melilotus alba, a nitrogen fixer that can displace native flora on gravel bars; softshell clam Mya arenaria, which competes with native shellfish in the Cook Inlet; and earthworms, which alter soil nutrient dynamics (Saltmarsh et al. 2016) and provide a new food resource for salmonids (D. J. Rinella, unpublished data). Under rapid climate change, ecologists expect novel species assemblages to develop unlike any found today (Williams and Jackson 2007). Novel assemblages on the Kenai Peninsula may be based not on a simple reshuffling of native boreal species but of human-dispersed species from the Old World and temperate North America (Morton et al., in press). We address several other impacts of human development below in the Conservation and Management section.

**Salmon Population Trends: Links to Climate and Landscape**

During the past decade, the numbers of both wild and hatchery-origin salmon have reached historic high levels in the North Pacific (Irvine and Ruggereone 2016). Overall, the numbers of Pink Salmon O. gorbuscha, Chum Salmon O. keta, and Sockeye Salmon have recently increased, due to a combination of generally favorable climatic conditions in the ocean and increased hatchery production, whereas Chinook and Coho salmon populations have decreased (Irvine and Fukuwaka 2011; Irvine and Ruggereone 2016). Similar trends hold in the Gulf of Alaska region, which produces roughly one-third of the world’s wild Pacific salmon (Irvine and Ruggereone 2016). Hatchery salmon production in the region has recently reached all-time highs of roughly 1.5 billion (1.5 × 10⁹) salmon released per year, mostly in Prince William Sound and Southeast Alaska (Stopha 2017).
In the Kenai River, Sockeye Salmon have been relatively abundant during the past decade, whereas Chinook Salmon runs have declined. Total run sizes have fluctuated 10-fold for Sockeye Salmon and 7-fold for Chinook Salmon during the 30- to 40-year period of record (Figure 8). These numbers include fish that were harvested as well as those that escaped the fisheries to spawn. Hatchery supplementation produces less than 2% of the Sockeye Salmon and none of the Chinook Salmon returning to the Kenai River (Tobias and Willette 2013). The average run size of Sockeye Salmon was slightly above the 40-year average during 2006–2015, the 10 most recent years with available data (Tobias and Willette 2013; McCarthy 2016). In contrast, Chinook Salmon populations declined sharply in the Kenai and many other Alaska rivers during the past decade (ADFG 2013). Two distinct populations of Chinook Salmon return to the Kenai River (Box 1; Burger et al. 1985). In recent years, adult returns from both populations have declined to the lowest levels in the 30-year record (Figure 8; Fleischman and Reimer 2017). The mean body size of adult Chinook Salmon has also declined substantially since the 1980s in many Alaska rivers, including the Kenai, due to slower growth rates and earlier maturity (Lewis et al. 2015), raising concerns about recruitment and productivity. Although the specific mechanisms driving these changes are poorly understood, they are linked to climate and landscape change in at least two key ways.

First, salmon populations have fluctuated widely over past decades and centuries in association with large-scale climatic oscillations. For example, decadal-scale cycles in Chinook and Coho salmon productivity in North America, including the recent downturn, are associated with an indicator of marine climatic conditions, the North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (Kilduff et al. 2015; Ohlberger et al. 2016). On regional and local scales, Chinook Salmon productivity is also associated with changes in the freshwater environment, such as summer stream discharge (Neuswanger et al. 2015) and the timing of spring river ice breakup (Ohlberger et al. 2016). Reaching further back in time, lake sediment records reveal that Sockeye Salmon populations in Bristol Bay and the Gulf of Alaska have cycled between high- and low-productivity periods lasting 100 years or more over the past 500 years, with different populations rising and falling asynchronously until the onset of commercial fishing (Rogers et al. 2013). The longest available paleolimnological record for Alaska salmon, from the Russian River drainage, suggests that Sockeye Salmon were generally abundant over the past 3,700 years but nearly absent during a 600-year period beginning in 50 BCE (McCarthy 2016).

Second, diverse, intact landscapes enhance the stability of salmon returns. Individual salmon populations respond in different ways to regional climate patterns, potentially because they rely on different types of freshwater habitats (Rogers and Schindler 2008). This asynchrony among populations stabilizes the dynamics of salmon returns across broader geographic regions, delivering more reliable benefits to fisheries and ecosystems via the portfolio effect (Hilborn et al. 2003; Schindler et al. 2010). Alaska salmon populations are more asynchronous, and thus provide more reliable portfolios, than salmon at lower latitudes where habitats are more heavily impacted and homogenized by human development and dams (Moore et al. 2010; Griffiths et al. 2014).

Habitat diversity also increases the benefits of salmon runs to ecosystems and fisheries by causing diversity in the timing of their spawning migrations. In diverse, intact landscapes, the peak migration timing of the same species in nearby streams can vary by 3 weeks or more due in part to temperature differences...
Many rivers below proglacial lakes support highly productive salmon populations, including those in the Kenai, Kasilof, and Klutina rivers in southcentral Alaska. Dorava and Milner (2000) proposed that lake-mediated glacial rivers produce more salmon per unit channel length than glacial or nonglacial rivers lacking lake influence, citing examples in the Cook Inlet basin. Lake-regulated rivers also exhibit diversity in salmon run timing, prolonging the availability of salmon to fisheries and ecosystems. Many lake-regulated rivers support two genetically distinct populations of Chinook and Sockeye salmon: early runs spawning in tributaries and late runs spawning in the main stem below the lake (e.g., Burger et al. 1986, 1997; Savereide 2005).

The distribution of proglacial lakes is changing as glaciers recede. For example, a large new proglacial lake formed at the toe of the Melbern Glacier in the Alsek River drainage, YT, Canada, ca. 2005. Melbern Lake and its outlet river are now developing characteristics suitable for colonization by Chinook and Sockeye salmon, which could ultimately be harvested in U.S. fisheries (A. von Finster, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, retired, pers. comm.). Conversely, in 2016, Kluane Lake, a major proglacial lake in the Yukon River basin, lost its main glacial tributary when the Kaskawulsh Glacier receded to the point that its drainage flowed south towards the Pacific Ocean instead of north into the lake (Shugar et al. 2017). The loss of glacial inflow may transform the ecology of the lake and its salmon-bearing outlet river.

Photo credits (L-R): Marli Miller, University of Oregon; John Schoen; National Weather Service.

**Box 1. Glaciers + Lakes = High Salmon Productivity?**

Rivers flowing into the Gulf of Alaska are strongly influenced by glaciers. Roughly 7% of freshwater discharge into the Gulf of Alaska is meltwater from rapidly thinning glaciers (Hill et al. 2015). In general, glacial rivers support low productivity of algae, invertebrates, and fish due to their low bed stability, high sediment loads, and cold temperatures (Milner et al. 2001). However, proglacial lakes moderate these harsh physical conditions by stabilizing flow regimes, trapping sediment, and buffering temperatures (Dorava and Milner 2000; Kyle and Brabets 2001; see below).

Many rivers below proglacial lakes support highly productive salmon populations, including those in the Kenai, Kasilof, and Klutina rivers in southcentral Alaska. Dorava and Milner (2000) proposed that lake-mediated glacial rivers produce more salmon per unit channel length than glacial or nonglacial rivers lacking lake influence, citing examples in the Cook Inlet basin. Lake-regulated rivers also exhibit diversity in salmon run timing, prolonging the availability of salmon to fisheries and ecosystems. Many lake-regulated rivers support two genetically distinct populations of Chinook and Sockeye salmon: early runs spawning in tributaries and late runs spawning in the main stem below the lake (e.g., Burger et al. 1986, 1997; Savereide 2005).

The distribution of proglacial lakes is changing as glaciers recede. For example, a large new proglacial lake formed at the toe of the Melbern Glacier in the Alsek River drainage, YT, Canada, ca. 2005. Melbern Lake and its outlet river are now developing characteristics suitable for colonization by Chinook and Sockeye salmon, which could ultimately be harvested in U.S. fisheries (A. von Finster, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, retired, pers. comm.). Conversely, in 2016, Kluane Lake, a major proglacial lake in the Yukon River basin, lost its main glacial tributary when the Kaskawulsh Glacier receded to the point that its drainage flowed south towards the Pacific Ocean instead of north into the lake (Shugar et al. 2017). The loss of glacial inflow may transform the ecology of the lake and its salmon-bearing outlet river.

Photo credits (L-R): Marli Miller, University of Oregon; John Schoen; National Weather Service.
Figure 7. The expanding footprint of human development in the lower Kenai River watershed based on analysis of aerial photographs. Inset in top panel shows location within entire Kenai River watershed (area within black line). Most development is concentrated in the lowlands near the river main stem and tributaries. An interactive slider graphic showing greater detail is available at https://ak-nsf-epscor.github.io/kenai-change (July 2017).
Trout *O. mykiss* (Begich et al. 2013). Together these species support hundreds of thousands of days of fishing effort by guided as well as nonguided anglers. Kenai River salmon are also harvested in personal-use fisheries, which provide Alaska residents an opportunity to efficiently “fill their freezers” for their annual sustenance via dip nets or gill nets, as well as in small-scale subsistence and educational fisheries (Fall et al. 2015). Commercial fisheries harvest more northern Kenai Peninsula salmon than the other fisheries combined, but the recreational and personal-use fisheries have grown substantially in recent decades (Figure 9; see Supplemental Information).

Despite the high value of Alaska salmon, the inherent variability of their returns can make fishing an economically risky business. Some fishers and fishing communities mitigate these risks and smooth their incomes by targeting a diverse portfolio of species and stocks (Kasperski and Holland 2013). However, fishing communities differ in opportunities for diversifying their fishery portfolios due to their proximity to commercial fisheries and fleet characteristics that promote or constrain participation in diversified fisheries. In general, communities along the Gulf of Alaska have more diverse fishing portfolios than those in western and interior Alaska (Sethi et al. 2014; Cline et al. 2017).

Commercial fisheries in Cook Inlet harvest salmon returning to the Kenai River and neighboring drainages using two types of fishing gear: drift and set gill nets (Shields and Dupuis 2016). Both fisheries are limited entry programs with tradable permits (Gho 2014). Encouragingly, residents of the Kenai Peninsula Borough own most of these permits and have maintained these permit holdings over time (see Supplemental Information), contrary to a state-wide trend of diminishing local control of fishing permits (Knapp 2011). Further, Kenai Peninsula residents participate in many other commercial fisheries, providing a diverse revenue base at the regional scale (Supplemental Information: Figure S3). However, some communities on the peninsula (e.g., Kenai and Soldotna) have become increasingly reliant on fewer fisheries over the past 35 years, as measured by applying Simpson’s (1949) diversity index to permit holdings data (Figure 10A). These communities have experienced more variability in commercial fishery revenues over time, relative to other communities that have maintained a more stable and diversified portfolio of permits (e.g., Homer and Anchor Point, Figure 10B). The concentration of permit holdings on fewer types of fisheries may limit the capacity of these communities to adapt to changing patterns of productivity and withstand future cyclical downturns in harvest or market prices.

Although harvesting a diversity of resources generally stabilizes revenues and enhances resilience, it can also create challenges for management, particularly when fisheries capture multiple species or stocks at the same time and place. In these mixed fisheries, managers often must choose between restricting harvest of abundant stocks or overfishing weak stocks; thus, total harvest can be limited by the weakest component (NRC 1996; Knudsen 2000; Walters and Martell 2004). Due to the overlap in run timing and migration routes of the five salmon species present in Cook Inlet, the commercial salmon fisheries are mixed fisheries, in terms of both species and stocks. Fishery managers are charged with meeting their primary biological objectives (e.g., spawning escapement goals) as well as social objectives (e.g., sport and personal-use fishing allocations) for multiple species simultaneously (Shields and Dupuis 2016). This has resulted in...
Figure 9. Trends in harvest and effort in the (A) commercial gill-net, (B) recreational hook-and-line, and (C) personal-use dip-net and gill-net fisheries on the northern Kenai Peninsula. Commercial fisheries harvest more salmon than the other fisheries combined (note different y-axis scales), but the sport and personal-use fisheries have grown substantially. Commercial harvest includes central district drift gill-net and east-side set gill-net fisheries. “Other” harvest includes Rainbow Trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Dolly Varden *Salvelinus malma*, Arctic Grayling *Thymallus arcticus*, and Northern Pike *Esox lucius*. Sources: Alaska Department of Fish and Game and Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission. Photo credits (top to bottom): Madeline Jovanovich, Ron Neibrugge (via Creative Commons), jodyo.photos.
a complicated and contentious network of regulations for both commercial and recreational fisheries, designed to allow harvest of abundant Sockeye Salmon while minimizing impacts to weak Chinook Salmon runs. During recent poor return years, managers have closed the set gill-net and sport fisheries (Loring 2016). To enhance the resilience of this complex and valuable system, an important additional challenge for policymakers is to promote entry opportunities to fisheries and encourage economic diversification, potentially outside of commercial fishing (Sethi et al. 2014).

**CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT IN THE FACE OF ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE**

Existing research documenting the responses of fish to climate change largely focuses on shifts in distribution (Lynch et al. 2016), emphasizing the leading and trailing edges of species’ climate envelopes. In contrast, we synthesized changes occurring within the highly productive core of the worldwide distribution of wild Pacific salmon (Augerot and Foley 2005; Irvine and Fukwakai 2011). Despite the high economic and cultural value of salmon in the Gulf of Alaska region and a growing number of prospective modeling studies (e.g., Leppi et al. 2014; Wobus et al. 2016; Sloat et al. 2017), relatively few observed responses to climate change have yet been documented in this region (Lynch et al. 2016). These responses include colonization of newly deglaciated habitats (Milner et al. 2000), changes in juvenile growth (Edmundson et al. 2003), and altered migration timing (Kovach et al. 2015). The net effects of these myriad changes on ecosystem dynamics and salmon productivity may be too complex to predict with mechanistic models (Schindler and Hilborn 2015), and scientists and policymakers should expect unprecedented future conditions to produce ecological surprises (Williams and Jackson 2007). The changing environment will likely affect salmon species, populations, and life history variants differently, producing winners and losers and potentially driving a redistribution of productive salmon runs and profitable fisheries across the region.

To manage ecosystems in the face of such uncertainty, research suggests applying multiple strategies, such as the restoration of degraded habitats, adding vulnerable habitats to conservation networks, facilitating species movements into new habitats, or transforming parts of the ecosystem into novel forms to provide more desired benefits to society (e.g., Millar et al. 2007; Aplet and McKinley 2017).

Riparian lowlands emerge as a key priority for restoration and conservation throughout the region because of their high value as salmon habitat, sensitivity to environmental change, exposure to human development, and underrepresentation within conservation networks. On the Kenai Peninsula, lowland streams fed by groundwater and wetlands are considered the most vulnerable category of fish habitat, facing threats from a warming climate, invasive species, riparian development, and road crossings lacking adequate fish passage (KPFHP 2014). The lower mainstem Kenai River appears to be buffered from some climatic changes by upstream glaciers and lakes (Box 1), but it is also impacted by riparian development (Figure 7) and other human activities. The lower main stem is a crucial spawning and rearing habitat for late-run Chinook Salmon and a migration corridor for all Pacific salmon species. Lowland salmon habitats in other parts of Alaska face similar challenges (Sepulveda et al. 2015; Matanuska–Susitna Basin Salmon Habitat Partnership 2016).

Lowland streams are also vulnerable in less populated parts of the Gulf of Alaska region, including the temperate rainforests of Southeast Alaska. Although only 12% of the productive old-growth forest in Southeast Alaska has been harvested, disproportionate logging in valley bottoms and floodplains has reduced forest cover with the highest volume of contiguous old growth by 67% (Albert and Schoen 2013). These lowland habitats have high potential for salmon productivity (Edwards et al. 2013; Sloat et al. 2017). Many higher-elevation streams remain more pristine, but these are generally less productive habitats due to higher gradients, confined channels, and a lack of floodplain connectivity (Sloat et al. 2017). Further, several rivers with important salmon runs are vulnerable to large proposed mines, both in Alaska (e.g., Leppi et al. 2014; Wobus et al. 2016) and upstream on transboundary rivers in Canada (e.g., Scannell 2012). Riparian lowlands attract human development worldwide (Carpenter et al. 1992), and many of the world’s formerly productive lowland salmon streams are now highly degraded (Montgomery 2003). However, much of Alaska remains unmodified by permanent development (Trammell and Asiu 2015; Crawford et al. 2016), and it is not too late to conserve the lowland component of the habitat portfolio in many salmon-bearing watersheds.

Conversely, salmon are colonizing new habitats following glacial loss and retreat, potentially allowing for productivity gains in some watersheds. Many rivers in the region receive too much glacial runoff to support salmon. For example, rearing habitat for juvenile Chinkook Salmon is only available in a small fraction of the Copper River watershed, in subbasins with ≥10% glacier coverage (Bidlack et al. 2014). New proglacial lakes (e.g., Figure 5; Box 1) may provide particularly valuable opportunities for salmon productivity gains (Milner et al. 2007; O’Neil et al. 2015). Salmon will readily colonize watersheds following glacial recession when hydroecological conditions become suitable (Milner et al. 2000), so to facilitate these movements, managers may need only to conserve glacial habitats that are likely to become more productive in the future. For example, several subbasins in the upper Kenai River watershed could potentially produce more salmon in the future, including the Snow River, which has a wide floodplain with ample low-gradient habitat suitable for anadromous fish but where high (30%) glacier coverage currently contributes to channel instability (MacFarlane 2005). A hydropower dam has recently been proposed on the Snow River, and we suggest that the impact of such a project on salmon production might be much greater under future conditions than it appears at present.

Salmon hatcheries are an example of a strategy attempting to transform the ecosystem into a novel form to provide greater benefits (i.e., enhanced fish harvests) to society, but record-high hatchery production poses risks to wild salmon. Hatchery-origin salmon accounted for one-third of the salmon commercially harvested in Alaska over the past decade (Stopka 2017). Most hatchery salmon return to Prince William Sound (56%), Southeast Alaska (36%), and Kodiak (11%), whereas only 2% return to Cook Inlet (Stopka 2017). Alaska hatchery operations are regulated to minimize impacts on wild populations, and wild runs have increased along with hatchery production since the 1970s (Stopka 2017). However, wild Pink Salmon production has increased far less in Prince William Sound than in other regions of Alaska lacking large hatcheries, suggesting a tradeoff between hatchery and wild production (Amoroso et al. 2017). Further, recent studies have documented substantial proportions of stray hatchery-origin fish on wild spawning grounds (Bremer et al. 2012; Habicht et al. 2013), which could reduce dissolved oxygen levels to lethal limits when streamflows are low (Sergeant et al. 2017); genetic introgression into wild populations (Jasper et al. 2013), which can reduce the fitness of wild salmon (Araki et al. 2007); and competition between hatchery-origin and wild fish in the ocean, which reduces their growth rates (e.g., Cross et al. 2005; Ruggerone et al. 2016).
Climate and landscape change are likely to intensify some of the interactions between hatchery-origin and wild salmon. Low streamflows driven by climate change or human-caused water diversions increase the risk of hypoxia, making wild spawners more sensitive to the density of hatchery-origin fish (Sergeant et al. 2017). As the ocean warms during this century, Chinook and Sockeye salmon are projected to face greater metabolic constraints than other salmon species (Abdul-Aziz et al. 2011), and these stresses could be exacerbated by greater competition with hatchery-enhanced Pink Salmon populations. Large-scale hatchery releases involve important policy tradeoffs, including potential reductions in the resilience of wild salmon to climate and landscape changes.

MITIGATING THE IMPACTS OF GLOBAL CHANGE WITH LOCAL ACTION

In many cases, the risks facing Alaska salmon can be mitigated by quantifying tradeoffs and adjusting management strategies. One notable success story is the reduction of hydrocarbon pollution in the Kenai River. Petroleum hydrocarbons and metals in urban runoff can cause high mortality of adult salmon before they are able to spawn (Scholz et al. 2011; Spromberg et al. 2016). During the 1990s and early 2000s, the sport fishery for Chinook Salmon attracted more than 700 boats per day to the lower Kenai River during July, and petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations exceeded state clean water standards due to discharge from older, carbureted two-stroke outboard motors. The lower river is a major spawning and rearing area for Chinook Salmon and a migration corridor for other Pacific salmon species, making it particularly sensitive to pollution. In response, a buy-back program was initiated for the most polluting motors, these motors were prohibited on the lower river beginning in 2008, and hydrocarbon levels dropped dramatically (Oasis Environmental 2010).

Other risks have proven more challenging to mitigate, but governmental agencies and nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) are making progress with coordinated action. To mitigate the impacts of invasive species, the highest priority conservation concern in local freshwater ecosystems (KPFHP 2014), management agencies have taken several aggressive steps documented previously. Research from Oregon suggests that climate change impacts to salmon can be mitigated in part by restoration of riparian vegetation (Justice et al. 2017) and the availability of coldwater refuge habitats fed by subsurface flow (Torgersen et al. 1999). To protect riparian vegetation, the Kenai Peninsula Borough restricted new development within 50 ft (15 m) of salmon-bearing waters (Czarnezki and Yaeger 2014). This riparian buffer regulation was recently upheld by local ballot initiative, demonstrating strong popular support for river conservation. In addition, public and private landowners have now preserved or restored more than 20% of the land parcels bordering the river (Arendt et al. 2010). To conserve coldwater refugia in Kenai Peninsula salmon streams, Cook Inletkeeper, a local NGO, uses thermal imagery to identify priority sites and works with land trusts to protect them (Mauger et al. 2015). Road crossings lacking adequate fish passage are also a high priority for restoration because large areas of disconnected habitat can be restored at relatively low cost. During the late 2000s, only 18% of assessed road culverts on the Kenai Peninsula provided adequate anadromous fish passage, but several agencies and the Kenai Watershed Forum NGO are now replacing problematic culverts and other stream crossings (Arendt et al. 2010).

More than a dozen federal, state, and local agencies have some degree of jurisdiction over the Kenai River fisheries or...
Conclusions

Salmon productivity is not stable over time but cyclical, as shown by decades of fish counts, a century of commercial harvest, and lake sediment records dating back for millennia. Salmon have recovered from massive climatic and landscape disturbances in the past, including glaciations, wildfires, and floods (Augerot and Foley 2005; Waples et al. 2008). However, human development and habitat degradation have proven overwhelming in much of the Atlantic basin and Pacific Northwest, where wild salmon abundance today is a tiny fraction of historic levels (NRC 1996; Lenders et al. 2016; Gibson 2017). Future trends will not necessarily resemble the past, especially as climate change and ocean acidification alter the environment in unprecedented ways, with unknown and perhaps surprising outcomes (Williams and Jackson 2007; Fabry et al. 2008). Policymakers and fishing communities would be prudent to maintain their flexibility in preparation for further large-scale shifts in salmon productivity (Cline et al. 2017). Encouragingly, many important factors influencing the long-term sustainability of salmon populations are under local control, such as restoration efforts guided by knowledge of historical conditions, enforcement of protections critical to salmon habitat, and coordination among managers and stakeholders (Montgomery 2003). An important question for managers is whether current policies and land use patterns sufficiently protect the habitats that will be necessary to support resilient salmon runs in a warmer world, which could differ from the most productive habitats today. Maintaining and, when possible, restoring a diversity of options may allow wild salmon and fishing communities alike to continue to thrive amidst the challenges and opportunities posed by climate and landscape change.
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Special Note about this Submission

This issue of Fisheries has been structured around this Schuen et al. Feature, which is one of the longer and more complex manuscripts to ever appear in Fisheries. Fisheries published it in its entirety because it illustrates the broad range of complexity and uncertainty about climate change from both ecological and human perspectives. Although it focuses on Alaska salmon in a particular river, we believe that fisheries scientists confronting climate change will find it useful as an example of a landscape-level approach on this topic.