**UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA**
**UNIFORM GUIDANCE WORKING GROUP**

Section Number & Name: Appendix III – C9. Alternative Method for Administrative Costs
A21 Reference: G9

---

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

A. Does the revised guidance contain new language? ☐ Y ☒ N

Specifically describe the new language and how it differs: See attached
References updated from A21 to new circular

B. Is the new guidance more or less restrictive than university processes? ☐ More ☐ Less

Specifically describe how it is more or less restrictive: Neither more nor less

C. Cite any relevant system-wide policies/regulations/procedures:

D. Cite any MAU-level relevant processes or manuals:

E. Is there an impact to electronic systems (Banner HR/Finance, Web Timesheets, Effort Reporting, etc.)? ☐ Y ☒ N

List specific system(s) impacted and method of impact:

---

ACTION PLAN: Is specific action needed? ☐ Y – see below ☒ N – no action needed

Recommended Action(s):

UA Responsible area requiring documentation of compliance: Tanya Hollis, Christi Eickholt – Cost Analysis

## 9. Alternative Method for Administrative Costs

a. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection 1.a, an institution may elect to claim a fixed allowance for the "Administration" portion of indirect (F&A) costs. The allowance could be either 24% of modified total direct costs or a percentage equal to 95% of the most recently negotiated fixed or predetermined rate for the cost pools included under "Administration" as defined in Section B.1, whichever is less. Under this alternative, no cost proposal need be prepared for the "Administration" portion of the indirect (F&A) cost rate nor is further identification or documentation of these costs required (see subsection c). Where a negotiated indirect (F&A) cost agreement includes this alternative, an institution must make no further charges for the expenditure categories described in Section B.5, General administration and general expenses, Section B.6, Departmental administration expenses, Section B.7, Sponsored projects administration, and Section B.9, Student administration and services.

b. In negotiations of rates for subsequent periods, an institution that has elected the option of subsection a may continue to exercise it at the same rate without further identification or documentation of costs.

c. If an institution elects to accept a threshold rate as defined in subsection a of this section, it is not required to perform a detailed analysis of its administrative costs. However, in order to compute the facilities components of its indirect (F&A) cost rate, the institution must reconcile its indirect (F&A) cost proposal to its financial statements and make appropriate adjustments and reclassifications to identify the costs of each major function as defined in Section A.1, as well as to identify and allocate the facilities components. Administrative costs that are not identified as such by the institution's accounting system (such as those incurred in academic departments) will be classified as instructional costs for purposes of reconciling indirect (F&A) cost proposals to financial statements and allocating facilities costs.

**Formatted:** Width: 11", Height: 8.5"

**Deleted:** .

**Deleted:** "

**Deleted:** "

**Deleted:** "

**Deleted:** "

**Deleted:** F

**Deleted:** , provided that no accounting or cost allocation changes with the effects described in subsection 8.d have occurred.

**Deleted:** "

**Deleted:** "

**Deleted:** shall

**Deleted:** Sections F

**Deleted:** F

**Deleted:** F

**Deleted:** and F

**Deleted:** . .

**Deleted:** , provided that no accounting or cost allocation changes with the effects described in subsection 8.d have occurred.

**Deleted:** B