UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA
UNIFORM GUIDANCE WORKING GROUP

Section Number & Name: Subpart C, 200.204 Federal Awarding Agency Review of Merit Proposals
NEW GUIDANCE

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

A. Does the revised guidance contain new language? ☒ Y ☐ N

Specifically describe the new language and how it differs:
Requires Federal awarding agencies to evaluate the merit associated with a potential Federal award. Currently, only a few agencies do this, now it will be universal.

B. Is the new guidance more or less restrictive than university processes? ☐ More ☐ Less

Specifically describe how it is more or less restrictive:
Neither more nor less restrictive.

C. Cite any relevant system-wide policies/regulations/procedures:
_____

D. Cite any MAU-level relevant processes or manuals:
_____

E. Is there an impact to electronic systems (Banner HR/Finance, Web Timesheets, Effort Reporting, etc.)? ☐ Y ☒ N

List specific system(s) impacted and method of impact:
_____

ACTION PLAN: Is specific action needed? ☐ Y – see below ☒ N – no action needed

UA responsible area requiring documentation of compliance: Andrew Gray, Deb Moore, Rosemary Madnick, Stan Schwafel, Tana Myrstol, Barbara Hyde

200.204 Federal awarding agency review of merit of proposals.

For competitive grants or cooperative agreements, unless prohibited by Federal statute, the Federal awarding agency must design and execute a merit review process for applications. This process must be described or incorporated by reference in the applicable funding opportunity (see Appendix I to this part, Full text of the Funding Opportunity.) See also §200.203 Notices of funding opportunities.