I. Meeting Summary
   The February 25, 2010 summary was approved with amendments.

II. New Programs
   No new programs were brought forward. Various programs were discussed at all three MAUs which may come forward in future meetings.

III. Academic Master Plan

   SAC amended the proposed timeline for development and final submission of the Academic Master Plan to the President’s Cabinet and Board of Regents. The proposed timeline is set forth below;

   **AMP Proposed Schedule, March 2010 through December 2010**

   March 5, 2010  Draft to Stakeholder groups (Senates, etc)
   March 25, 2010  SAC meeting to discuss initial feedback on the plan
   April 1, 2010  Revised timeline for discussion given to Stakeholder groups (Senates, etc.)
   April 15, 2010  MAU Senates and administrative constituencies discuss the Academic Master Plan
   April 15, 2010  Target date for feedback from non-Senate stakeholder groups
to Provost Henrichs, with a copy to SAC

April 19, 2010  Provost Henrichs sends revised draft to SAC (this is a working draft, not a draft for distribution)

April 22, 2010  SAC Meeting (at this meeting SAC will endeavor to produce a revised draft for distribution)

May 3-7, 2010  Last MAU Senate meetings of the academic year; each Senate will endeavor to pass motions on the AMP. Motions and back-up information will be forwarded to the Faculty Alliance and SAC

May 14, 2010  Last Faculty Alliance meeting; target date for Alliance feedback on the plan given to SAC

May 17, 2010  Provost Henrichs provides updated draft to SAC (this is a working draft, not a draft for distribution)

May 20, 2010  SAC Meeting (at this meeting SAC will endeavor to produce a revised draft for distribution to Stakeholders and BOR)

June 2010  Draft given to BOR

September 2010  Feedback given by BOR to SAC and discussed with various Stakeholder groups

October 2010  BOR feedback discussed at SAC and with Stakeholder groups

November 2010  President’s Cabinet discusses, reviews and possibly amends plan prior to forwarding plan to BOR. SAC should be notified of amendments

December 2010  Plan presented to the BOR

SAC discussed initial reaction to the plan, particularly faculty, and from other various stakeholder groups and also reviewed possible scenarios that may occur when the draft AMP is presented to the Cabinet and Regents. SAC agreed the initial “charge” framing the AMP process (Agreed; 11/20/08) would not be amended.
IV. **Memorandum of Understanding between UA SW Academic Affairs and the Alaska Association of School Administrators**

The document (attached) was distributed as a courtesy. A brief overview was provided by Melissa Hill.

V. **Distance Education**

An updated Distance Education Audit Response Plan was distributed and discussed by SAC. A detailed report was provided by John Monahan on progress to date on implementation of audit recommendations. SAC engaged in a discussion of recommendation #3 concerning DE training. It was agreed the provosts would endeavor to share training needs and, if possible, assemble an inventory of short-term and long-term training needs. VPAA Julius will ascertain what funds what funds this year. Documentation is attached.

VI. **Review of SB241 and Teacher Education Plan**

SA engaged in a general discussion about the SB241 process and Teacher Education Plan. The group was joined by Chancellor John Pugh (via video).

In regard to SB241, it was felt the document showed improvement over last year’s data. Also, it was noted that the process and interaction between the SW office and Regent Jacobson should remain in place.

In regard to the Teacher Education Plan, SAC felt there was a need for prioritization of objectives and, in addition, a need for clarity on two issues; a) who will approve the final plan and, b) what the role of SAC should be, particularly in regard to implementation of the plan. Lastly, SAC decided more data was needed to address the question of a “return on investment” in regard to the Teacher Education Plan.

These topics will reappear on the SAC agenda in April and May 2010.

VII. **Planning Groups**

The provosts reported on what was decided by the Chancellor’s and President’s Cabinets in regard to systemwide planning groups and the separation from budget matters. A discussion concerning to which body should planning groups (newly constituted) report. The general consensus appeared to be SAC (as SAC is charged with recommending an academic master plan), however, questions were raised regarding workload as well as the format and content of future planning group recommendations in different phases of development. VPAA will seek additional information on these issues. This topic will be placed on a future SAC agenda.

VPAA Julius presented the issue of the role and organization of the academic affairs unit in an effort to seek additional clarity between a SW role and role of campus provosts. Also, the intent here is to conduct a review of operations to provide cogent
recommendations to the next president. The discussion presented MAU and SW observations about Academic Affairs. It was agreed VPAA Julius would convene groups of interested stakeholders (the provosts, Alliance members and other) to discuss observations, with the hope of generating recommendations concerning the organization and future role of the SW Academic Affairs Unit. Subsequently, meetings are being arranged.

VIII. SAC Agenda, April 22, 2010, Anchorage, 9:30am to 4pm, Administration 201, UAA

Provost Driscoll noted he would not be able to attend this meeting.

1. New Programs, if applicable
2. Academic Master Plan
3. Discussion of WWAMI (Karen Perdue, Jan Harris and other to be invited)
4. DE Training Initiatives (continuation of discussion)
5. Teacher Education Plan and Planning Group process (continuation of discussion)
6. Report of the Faculty Alliance
7. New issues

IX. Attachments to the SAC Summary for March 25, 2010

1. Academic Master Plan
2. DE Legislative Audit Response Plan
3. MOA between University of Alaska and the Alaska Association of School Administrators
4. SB241
5. Teacher Education Plan