Present; D. Julius, S. Henrichs, M. Driscoll, R. Caulfield, J. Dehn, J. Anderson, C. Cahill, C. Brems, D. Monteith, M. Myers

Absent; J. Petraitis

Guests; S. Oba, K. Duke

I. Meeting Summary
The April 6, 2011 SAC summary was approved without amendments.

II. New Programs
SAC formally approved the graduate certificate in Career and Technical Education for consideration by the president prior to being recommended for approval at the June Board of Regents meeting.

III. Operating Guidelines for the Academic Master Plan (AMP) – called SAC OP
SAC again addressed the operating guidelines for the AMP. The guidelines will govern the interpretation and implementation of the AMP. Two issues were reviewed in detail; the grounds for amending the SAC OP and the duration of the document following the expiration or extension of the AMP.

SAC members appeared to reach consensus on these issues which appear to be the final two matters before the document is approved. Provost Henrichs will draft language for review. This topic will again appear on the SAC June agenda.

IV. Teacher Preparation Report
Discussion of the report was delayed pending receipt of confirmation being requested by the provosts.

V. Preparation for the Academic and Student Affairs Committee agenda at the June Board of Regents meeting
Provosts reviewed intended presentations on program review procedures for the June BOR. Also reviewed were presentations to respond to regent requests for ‘outstanding MAU programs and priorities’ which could potentially be marketed across the state. This topic was discussed in the context of responding to regent requests to discuss ‘HALO’ programs as they were defined in the Fisher Report.
VI. Faculty Alliance Report
Jon Dehn gave the report as John Petraitis was attending a workshop on the use of e-portfolios that conflicted with the SAC meeting. Dan Monteith (UAS and incoming chair of the Faculty Alliance) and Cathy Cahill (UAF, Chair-Elect of Faculty Alliance) were in attendance in addition to Jon Dehn (UAF, past-Chair of Alliance).

The Faculty Alliance meets on May 13, and many of the issues discussed at SAC are on the agenda. For this SAC meeting John Petraitis asked Jon Dehn to report on two main points:

- E-lab task force, a charge has been formed and the committee is being selected with representatives from each MAU. Work will being in earnest in August to make a series of recommendations and best practices for this dynamic issue. Dehn added that at UAF a separate ad hoc committee was formed over this issue to ensure representation from all the rural campuses and disciplines, and to provide support for UAF’s representatives on the task force.

- Accuplacer use, the faculty recognize that it is used almost exclusively across UA. There is no debate on using Accuplacer other than the Faculty would like to reserve the right to decide with their Provosts and Chancellors what scores on this exam are appropriate for their MAUs, recognizing that each MAU has its unique strengths and focus.

At the June board meeting, Dan Monteith will take over as Faculty Alliance Chair. SAC membership from the Faculty Alliance is planned to be Dan Monteith (UAS), Cathy Cahill (UAF) and John Petraitis (UAA) pending Faculty Alliance and SAC approval.

VII. Accuplacer Memo/Contract
SAC discussed potential arrangements with the College Board to implement testing for incoming freshman. Members discussed cost, the status of the use of the exam in branch campuses/institutions, and whether faculty were in favor of utilizing this exam. Saichi Oba, associate vice president for Student and Enrollment Services, presented background data and information on cost. SAC requested Mr. Oba to draft a statement recommending that UA move forward to negotiate with the College Board to implement Accuplacer. A draft statement will be distributed to SAC members for final review prior to being forwarded to the president.

VIII. Mission Area Planning Document
SAC was joined by Kit Duke, associate vice president for Facilities, to discuss recommendations, clarify definitions and administrative processes set forth in the program modeling document developed by her office. SAC members had a number of questions regarding new processes (and the enhanced role of SAC) in program and budgetary processes. SAC will return to this item in June.
IX. Proposed Prince William Sound Community College (PWSCC) Mission Statement
   The statement was distributed to SAC and will be revisited in June.

X. SAC Agenda, June 1, 2011, 9:30am to 4:30pm; Butrovich 204, Fairbanks
   Audio participants – 1-800-893-8850, PIN 9740910

   1. Approval of May SAC summary
   2. New Programs
   3. SAC OP Guidelines
   4. June BOR preparation/review
   5. PWSCC Mission Statement
   6. Teacher Preparation Report
   7. Report of the Faculty Alliance
   8. Other issues that may be brought forward

XI. Attachment to the May 12, 2011 SAC Summary

   1. Program review summaries from all MAUs
   2. Questions from Provost Henrichs regarding the Mission Area Program
   3. SAC OP Guidelines