Academic Program Review

Program submits portfolio addressing Program Review required elements as specified in University Regulation:

1. centrality to missions
2. quality of program
3. demand for program – institutional research data provided
4. program productivity and efficiency – institutional research data provided
5. timeliness of actions to augment, reduce, or discontinue
6. cost of program – institutional research data provided
7. program duplication in UA system

All programs reviewed at least once every five years (historically); recently changed to once every 7

Program Action Request for Deletion

Statewide Academic Council

UA President

UA Board of Regents

NWCCU (as needed)
Program Approval Levels

Occupational Endorsement: Chancellor
New Minors: Chancellor
Certificates: BOR and NWCCU
Associates: BOR and NWCCU
Bachelors: BOR and NWCCU
Masters: BOR and NWCCU
Graduate Certificates: BOR and NWCCU
Post-Baccalaureate Certificates: BOR and NWCCU
Doctorates: BOR and NWCCU
Faculty member submits Promotion & Tenure file containing:
- annual activity reports
- annual evaluations
- student evaluations
- self-evaluation
- workload distribution history
- curriculum vitae
- evidence of scholarly activity
- letters of support
- optional materials

Dean requests external review

Peer Review Committee (membership varies somewhat by union)

Dean Review

Institution-wide Review Committee (committees for UAFT and UNAC)

Provost Review

Chancellor Decision

*UAFT and UNAC processes differ
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