The Department of Defense (DoD) has issued a memo stating that 2011 appropriations do not contain the limit on Facilities & Administrative (F&A) cost recovery that was included in the 2008, 2009 and 2010 DoD appropriations. Obligations that remain subject to the prior year restrictions are those entered into using appropriations from federal FY08, FY09 and FY10. Please see attached copy of the DoD memo explaining the F&A limitations on basic research.

UAF F&A research rates are the only rates impacted by this change. Under the previous limitations, the DoD Appropriations Act PL110-118 placed expenditure limitations as a condition of doing business with DoD for basic research awards. The act limited indirect cost recovery for basic research awards to 35% of total costs. This translated to 53.8% of direct costs, not Modified Total Direct Costs (MTDC). Previously, for ease of implementation, we recommended that UAF DoD basic research contracts be set up with an F&A rate no greater than 53.8% applied to an MTDC base. Under the new DoD Appropriations Act of 2011 (PL112-10, Div. A), this limitation does not exist. This change does not impact UAF’s negotiated F&A rates, only the application of the rates to DoD basic research contracts.

Please note, UAF Organized Research has two possible F&A rates, one that is "capped" and one that is "uncapped" for use on Department of Defense (DoD) contracts. For all new UAF organized research awards other than those contracts funded by the DoD, please use 49.5%. For new DoD contracts UAF may apply the "uncapped" 60.3% organized research F&A rate.

To summarize regarding UAF DoD sponsored research from 2011 federal appropriations and forward:
1. If DoD basic research contract - 60.3% (previous limitation of 53.8%)
2. If DoD research award other than a contract - 49.5%
3. For all other DoD research contracts (non basic) - 60.3%
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SUBJECT: Indirect Cost Limitation for Basic Research Awards

The DoD Appropriations Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-10, Div. A) does not carry forward a
limitation that the three preceding appropriations acts applied to reimbursement of indirect costs
under grants, cooperative agreements, contracts, or similar arrangements. As summarized in the
attached table, prior year appropriations acts limited indirect cost reimbursement rates paid using
funds made available in those acts for Basic Research. Obligations that remain subject to the
prior year restrictions are those entered into using FY 2008 funds after November 14, 2007, and
those that use FY 2009 and 2010 appropriations for Basic Research. Obligations incurred during
the period of the Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011 (P.L. 111-242, as amended) are not
subject to the limitation, and – by operation of section 107 of that act – such obligations have
been charged to the DoD Appropriations Act of 2011.

It remains important for DoD awarding offices to alert recipients and contractors with
continuing awards that their use of prior year Basic Research funds continues to be subject to the
limitation on indirect cost reimbursement and review by auditors and others to check for
compliance with that limitation. Records in the contractor’s or recipient’s financial management
system must be able to identify the sources and applications of funding adequately to
demonstrate compliance with the limitation. That could be especially important for a contractor
or recipient with an award under which there are both obligations of prior year Basic Research
funds that remain subject to the limitation and obligations of FY 2011 Basic Research funds that
are not subject to the limitation. In some cases, being able to demonstrate compliance for the
former may require segregation of records for the latter. For the same reason, DoD awarding
offices' award terms for those awards must clearly distinguish the obligations to which the
limitation applies from those to which it does not.

DoD awarding offices are responsible for determining whether modification of terms and
conditions of an existing instrument is necessary or appropriate. Although the indirect cost
reimbursement limitation is not imposed by law in FY 2011, activities that procured Basic
Research services in FY 2011 using FAR contracts may have contractually established an
indirect cost reimbursement rate or limitation during a competitive negotiation, whereas that
same circumstance should not exist for an activity that used FY 2011 funds to award a grant for
Basic Research. Also, if an award line item is incrementally funded using both FY 2011 funds
and prior year funds that are subject to the indirect cost limitation, it may be necessary to keep
the line item subject to the existing limitation if it is not feasible to identify separate sources and
applications of funding.

Please disseminate this guidance to all offices that: manage Basic Research programs;
award or administer grants, cooperative agreements, contracts, or similar arrangements using
Basic Research appropriations; or transfer those appropriations to other organizations for
obligation. My point of contact for grants or cooperative agreements is Dr. Mark Herbst at
703-588-1377 and mark.herbst@osd.mil. For procurement contracts, contact Mr. Clyde Wray at
703-602-8387 and clyde.wray@osd.mil.

Ashton B. Carter
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As stated
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Fiscal Year of funding</th>
<th>B. Appropriation (Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol) with Budget Activity 1, Basic Research, to which DoD-specific limitation applies</th>
<th>C. Source(s) of DoD-specific limitation</th>
<th>D. Obligations subject to DoD-specific limitation</th>
<th>E. DoD-specific limitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>RDT&amp;E, Army (21 2008 2009 2040) RDT&amp;E, Navy (17 2008 2009 1319) RDT&amp;E, Air Force (57 2008 2009 3600) RDT&amp;E, Defense-wide (97 2008 2009 0400)</td>
<td>Section 8115, DoD Appropriations Act, 2008</td>
<td>Each new award made on or after November 14, 2007</td>
<td>Funds made available for Basic Research may not be used to pay negotiated indirect cost rates on an award (grant, cooperative agreement, contract, or similar arrangement), in excess of 35 percent of the total cost of that award. See DoD implementation in USD(AT&amp;L) memoranda, &quot;Indirect Cost Limitation for Basic Research Awards,&quot; dated December 1, 2007, October 28, 2008, and April 5, 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>RDT&amp;E, Army (21 2009 2010 2040) RDT&amp;E, Navy (17 2009 2010 1319) RDT&amp;E, Air Force (57 2009 2010 3600) RDT&amp;E, Air Force, Recovery Act (57 2009 2010 3605) RDT&amp;E, Defense-wide (97 2009 2010 0400)</td>
<td>Section 8109, Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2009 (Division C of Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, P.L. 110-329)</td>
<td>Each new award; and Each modification adding funding to a continuing award (e.g., incremental funding or exercise of an option) if the award already was subject to the limitation due to the funding originally used to make the award</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>